

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,814	01/23/2002	Carroll W. Creswell	003493.00291 3188	
²⁶⁶⁵² AT&T CORP.	7590 06/04/200	EXAMINER		
ROOM 2A207 ONE AT&T W	'AV	PHUONG, DAI		
BEDMINSTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			<i>r</i>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/052,814		CRESWELL ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Dai A. Phuo	ng	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		,			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 03/08/2007, with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 14-15 and 17-28 have been canceled. Claims 1-13 and 16 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 5, 9-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell et al. (U.S. 6373930) in view of Davitt et al. (U.S. 6137872).

Regarding claim 1, McConnell et al. disclose a system for automated interactive management of a communication service account, said account having parameters establishing rules of use, comprising:

a server (fig. 4, Col. 11, line 64 to Col. 15, line 48); and

a data storage device in communication with the server, the data storage device comprising account data that comprises the parameters establishing rules of use of at least one subscribed communication service, where said at least one subscribed communication service is accessible by a user device (fig. 4, Col. 11, line 64 to Col. 15, line 48),

wherein the server is configured to receive a customer-initiated signal requesting modification of a first and a second account parameter from said parameters and to modify the

Art Unit: 2617

first and the second account parameter in response to the customer-initiated signal (fig. 4, Col. 11, line 64 to Col. 15, line 48),

wherein the server is further configured to update the first account parameter based upon use of the at least one subscribed communication service by the user device, and the server is further configured to, upon the first account parameter reaching a first predetermined value, automatically reset the first account parameter to a second predetermined value (fig. 4, Col. 11, line 64 to Col. 15, line 48),

the server is configured to update the number of calling plan minutes allocated for all time periods based upon the user device placing wireless telephone calls, the first predetermined value is a minimum calling plan minute threshold, and the second predetermined value is larger than the first predetermined value (fig. 4, Col. 11, line 64 to Col. 15, line 48).

However, McConnell et al. doses not disclose wherein the first account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a first time period, the second account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second time period, the server is configured to update the number of calling plan minutes allocated for all time periods based upon the user device placing wireless telephone calls, the first predetermined value is a minimum calling plan minute threshold, and the second predetermined value is larger than the first predetermined value.

In the same field of endeavor, Davitt e al. disclose wherein the first account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a first time period, the second account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second account parameter is

Art Unit: 2617

a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second time period (col. 2, line 21 to col. 6, line 30), the server is configured to update the number of calling plan minutes allocated for all time periods based upon the user device placing wireless telephone calls, the first predetermined value is a minimum calling plan minute threshold, and the second predetermined value is larger than the first predetermined value.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the telecommunication device of McConnell et al. by specifically including wherein the first account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a first time period, the second account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second account parameter is a number of calling plan minutes allocated for a second time period, the server is configured to update the number of calling plan minutes allocated for all time periods based upon the user device placing wireless telephone calls, the first predetermined value is a minimum calling plan minute threshold, and the second predetermined value is larger than the first predetermined value., as taught by Davitt et al., the motivation being in order to provide a combined calling card and pre-paid card service that allows for call budgeting, yet affords the flexibility to exceed a budgeted amount of service. In addition, the account number specifies an overflow indicator for the subscriber that determines whether the subscriber will receive manual or automatic overflow treatment for call charges that exceed the subscriber's pre-paid balance.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service is wireless telephone service (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col.

11, line 5), the user device is a wireless telephone (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), the customer-initiated signal is initiated on the user device (fig. 4, col. 14, lines 1-29).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service is long distance telephone service (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), the user device is a telephone (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), the customer-initiated signal is initiated on the user device (fig. 4, col. 14, lines 1-29).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the server is further configured to receive a customer-initiated identifying signal identifying the user device, to retrieve the first account parameter in response to the identifying signal, and to issue, in response to the identifying signal (col. 14, lines 1-60) and for communication to the customer, a response signal comprising at least part of the first account parameter (col. 18, lines 14-59).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 9. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the server is further configured to identify the type of user device (col. 14, lines 1-60), and to issue the response signal in a form optimized for the user device (col. 18, lines 14-59).

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 16, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 14. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the server is further configured, upon receipt of a customer-initiated cancellation signal, to not

automatically reset the first account parameter to a second predetermined value (col. 18, line 15 to col. 20, line 65).

4. Claims 3-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell et al. (U.S. 6373930) in view of Davitt et al. (U.S. 6137872) and further in view of Resnick et al. (Pub. No: 20010001321).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service is wireless telephone service (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), the user device is a wireless telephone (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), and. However, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. do not disclose the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device.

In the same field of endeavor, Resnick et al. disclose the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device ([0023] and [0026]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile station of the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. by specifically including the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device, as taught by Resnick et al., the motivation being in order to provide a stored value intermediary account to implement a centralized payment system.

Regarding claim 4, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. and Resnick et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 3. Further, Resnick et al. disclose the system wherein the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a personal computer ([0026]).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, McConnell et al. disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service is wireless telephone service (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), the user device is a wireless telephone (fig. 4, col. 10, line 43 to col. 11, line 5), and. However, McConnell et al. do not disclose the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device.

In the same field of endeavor, Resnick et al. disclose the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device ([0023] and [0026]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile station of the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. by specifically including the customer-initiated signal is initiated on a device that is not the user device, as taught by Resnick et al., the motivation being in order to provide a stored value intermediary account to implement a centralized payment system.

Regarding claim 7, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

5. Claims 8 and 13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell et al. (U.S. 6373930) in view of Davitt et al. (U.S. 6137872) and further in view of Burton et al. (Pub. No: 20020055878).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 1. However, the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. do not disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service shares a communication medium with cable television transmission and the user device is one of a personal computer, a set top box, an interactive television, and a VoIP telephone.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor, Burton et al. disclose the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service shares a communication medium with cable television transmission and the user device is one of a personal computer, a set top box, an interactive television, and a VoIP telephone ([0107]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile station of the combination of McConnell et al. and Davitt et al. by specifically including the system wherein the at least one subscribed communication service shares a communication medium with cable television transmission and the user device is one of a personal computer, a set top box, an interactive television, and a VoIP telephone, as taught by Resnick et al., the motivation being in order to provide on-line ordering service to purchasers.

Regarding claim 13, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 8.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 571-272-7896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/052,814

Art Unit: 2617

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen Duc can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dai Phuong AU: 2617

Date: 05-29-2007

DUC M. NOUYEN
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600